Sinclair Broadcasting's clout and media supremacy is by far too large for political partisanship. Any unilateral decision to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is unfair to the American people. The people of this country are subject to the media's guide and Sinclair Boradcasting should not take advantage of public airwaves.

Broadcasters are obligated by law to serve the public interest. Political intreset and monetary interests from partisan support should not be used to influence public opinion. ESPECIALLY for a presidential election where special interests are driving a majority of the political rhetoric.

When large companies control the airwaves, they supress democracy by limiting the number and variance of voices heard. Democracy and broadcasting both need to appeal to local communitites which they are a vital part.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.